



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re: Application of  
Soo-Guy RHO, *et al.*

Docket No.: 6192.0356.C3

Serial No.: 10/676,667

Group Art: 2871

Confirmation No.: 8980

Filed: 01 October 2003

Examiner: Tarifur CHOWDHURY

For: **LIQUID CRYSTAL DISPLAYS AND LIQUID CRYSTAL DISPLAY  
SUBSTRATES USING AN ORGANIC INSULATING MATERIAL**

Mail Stop Issue Fee  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313

**COMMENTS ON STATEMENTS OF REASON FOR ALLOWANCE**

Sir:

Regarding the Reasons for Allowance (RFA) in the Notice of Allowability mailed on February 4, 2005, while Applicants agree the claims are patentable over the prior art of record, Applicants do not agree that patentability resides in each feature exactly as expressed in the claims, nor that each feature is required for patentability of each claim. Moreover, pursuant to *Eolas Technologies Inc. v. Microsoft*, 04-1234 (Fed. Cir. March 2, 2005) (Fed. Cir. BBS) "the applicant has no obligation to respond to an examiner's statement of Reasons for Allowance, and the statement of an examiner will not necessarily limit a claim." *Eolas*, at \*20. (internal citation omitted).

Respectfully submitted,

*Reed O. Schaefer, Reg. No. 48,342, FOR*  
Hae-Chan Park  
Reg. No. 50,114

Date: 03 May 2005

**McGuireWoods LLP**

1750 Tysons Boulevard, Suite 1800

McLean, VA 22102-4215

Tel: 703-712-5365

Fax: 703-712-5280

HCP:STS/tmf

\\COM\506755.1